## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CIVILED STATES	*
v.	MAG. NO. 21-16046
AURALIE RAMOS	*
ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CO	*** ONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING
In accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 43(b)(2) and	Standing Order 2020-06, this Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juvenile) has	
That the Defendant (of the Suverme) has	consented to the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the	proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and	
That the proceeding(s) to be held today	cannot be further delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the following speci 1. COVID pandemic, 2. Inability to conduct 3. Consent of defendant.	
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date  X Video Teleconferencing Teleconferencing, because video teleco	may be conducted by:  nferencing is not reasonably available for the
following reason:	
	) is detained at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.	
Other:	
Date: 2/17/21	Honorable Anthony R. Mautone United States Magistrate Judge